

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI
OXFORD DIVISION**

RUSSELL K. HUNT

PLAINTIFF

VS.

CIVIL ACTION: 3:20-CV-00093-GHD-JMV

CITY OF OLIVE BRANCH

DEFENDANT

ORDER STAYING CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS

Local Uniform Civil Rule 16(b)(3)(B) provides that “a motion asserting... a jurisdictional defense stays the attorney conference and disclosure requirements and all discovery, pending the court’s ruling on the motion, including any appeal. Whether to permit discovery on issues related to the motion and whether to permit any portion of the case to proceed pending resolution of the motion are decisions committed to the discretion of the court, upon a motion by any party seeking relief.”

The defendant has filed a motion to dismiss or alternatively for summary judgment asserting a jurisdictional defense. Doc. #10. Accordingly, staying discovery in this case is appropriate at this time.

If any party desires to seek leave to take jurisdiction-related discovery, it must file a motion for leave to do so within seven (7) days hereof, setting forth the type and scope of such discovery and an estimated time frame for constructing it. If any party objects to any motion for jurisdiction-related discovery, it shall file an objection within four (4) days following service of the motion.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that the aforementioned proceedings are hereby **STAYED**, and the [12] case management conference shall be cancelled by further notice of the court, pending a ruling on the jurisdictional motion. The defendant shall notify the undersigned

magistrate judge within seven (7) days of resolution of the pending motion and shall submit a proposed order lifting the stay.

SO ORDERED this, June 5, 2020.

/s/ Jane M. Virden

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE